

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 17, 2002 LB 1062

and certainly more efficient. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Baker. Senator Schimek, on the Kristensen amendment. Senator Schimek.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members. I rise to ask Senator Kristensen a quick question.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Would you respond, Senator Kristensen?

SENATOR SCHIMEK: That's a lot of "Q" sounds all in a row. Senator Kristensen, I understand what you're trying to do and I think it's okay, but I wonder if you are somebody who owes this child support and you have lost your job and you're kind of living on the margin anyway and you haven't enough in your bank account to cover that next child support payment, it's presumably going to take you a little while to go back to court to get your payments changed, the best thing for you to do under those circumstances is not write a check that's going to bounce but what do you do then in that circumstance? Is there a procedure that people can use at all?

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Well, there's...there's two options. It depends on whose point of view you're looking at if you don't...as to the advice of don't write the check. If you're the custodial parent and you're living on that child support check, from your point of view it's better to have them write the insufficient funds because you're still going to get money from the SDU at the present pay. So, from their point of view, it's better.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: True.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: If you're the payor, the one who's writing the check, you're a hundred times better off never issuing a bad check, and you're better off going to court and having a modification of your decree, which can take some time but, at the same time, if that...if that goes on for six months that's different than if it happens a month or two, obviously.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Right. I mean sometimes persons in those